

TESTING GENERALIZED LORENZ DOMINANCE

Li-De Ho*

**DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL DONGHWA UNIVERSITY, HUALIEN, TAIWAN**

and

Philip E. Cheng

**INSTITUTE OF STATISTICAL SCIENCE
ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI, TAIWAN**

*This version is based on the thesis of Master of Science of Mr. Li-De Ho at the Department of Applied Mathematics, National Donghwa University, June 1998.

OUTLINE

- INTRODUCTION: DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS
- LITERATURE BACKGROUND OF HYPOTHESES TESTING
- THE PROPOSED TEST
- RATIONALE AND FUTUE STUDY

INTRODUCTION

Consider two random variables X and Y , where $X \geq 0$, $X \sim F$; $Y \geq 0$, $Y \sim G$, F and G denote the cumulative distribution functions of X and Y , respectively.

Definition 1 F Stochastically Dominates G in the Second Order, denoted

$$F \underset{2nd}{\geq} G (X \underset{2nd}{\geq} Y), \text{ iff}$$

$$\int_0^x F(u)du \leq \int_0^x G(u)du, \text{ all } x \geq 0;$$

equivalently, G Dominates F in the Generalized Lorenz Order, $F \underset{\bar{GL}}{\leq} G$,

$$\int_0^p F^{-1}(t)dt = GL_F(p) \geq GL_G(p) = \int_0^p G^{-1}(t)dt, \text{ all } 0 \leq p \leq 1.$$

Definition 2 Let $E_F X = m_F$ and $E_G X = m_G$, if

$$L_F(p) = \frac{1}{m_F} \int_0^p F^{-1}(t)dt \geq \frac{1}{m_G} \int_0^p G^{-1}(t)dt = L_G(p), \text{ all } 0 \leq p \leq 1,$$

then G Dominates F in the Lorenz Order, denoted $F \underset{L}{\leq} G$.

LITERATURE BACKGROUND

Lorenz (1905, JASA), **Gini** (1914, TRIVS), **Dalton** (1920, EJ), **Hadar and Russell** (1969, AJER), **Hanoch and Levy** (1969, RES), **Atkinson** (1970, JET), **Rothschild and Stiglitz** (1970, JET), **Gastwirth** (1971, Econometrica), **Shorrocks** (1983, Econometrica); **Kaur, Prakasa Rao and Singh** (1994, Economics Theory); **Ho** (1998).

HYPOTHESES TESTING (McFadden, 1989)

$$H_0 : \int_0^x F(u)du \geq \int_0^x G(u)du, \text{ all } x \geq 0,$$

$$H_1 : \int_0^x F(u)du < \int_0^x G(u)du, \text{ some } x > 0.$$

$$Data : X_1, \dots, X_m \sim F; Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim G.$$

$$m = n, S_n^* = \max_x S_n(x) = \max_x \sqrt{n} \int_0^x (G_n(u) - F_m(u)) du.$$

PROPOSITION 1. Under H_0 , if $F = G$,

$$(i) S_n(x) \rightarrow N(0, \rho(x)),$$

$$\text{where } \rho(x) = 2\{\int_0^x (x-u)^2 dF(u) - [\int_0^x (x-u) dF(u)]^2\}$$

$$(ii) 3e^{-t^2/8} > P(S_n^* > t) > \frac{1}{4}e^{-t^2/\pi\sigma^2} + O(1/n),$$

$$\text{where } \sigma^2 = Var_F X \text{ } (X \leq 1).$$

HYPOTHESES TESTING (Kaur, Prakasa Rao, and Singh, 1994)

$$H_0 : \int_0^x F(u)du \leq \int_0^x G(u)du, \text{ all } x \geq 0,$$

$$H_1 : \int_0^x F(u)du > \int_0^x G(u)du, \text{ some } x > 0.$$

FORMULATION OF TEST STATISTICS

$$\int_0^x F_m(u)du = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x - X_i)I[x \geq X_i] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m U_i(x) = \bar{U}(x)$$

$$\int_0^x G_n(u)du = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (x - Y_j)I[x \geq Y_j] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n V_j(x) = \bar{V}(x)$$

$$S_{m,F}^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \{U_i(x) - \bar{U}(x)\}^2; S_{n,G}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \{V_j(x) - \bar{V}(x)\}^2$$

$$\text{Let } C(x) = \int_0^x \{F(u) - G(u)\}du, C_{m,n}(x) = \int_0^x (F_m - F)du - \int_0^x (G_n - G)du,$$

$$\int_0^x (F_m - G_n) = C_{m,n}(x) + C(x), D_{m,n}^2(x) = \frac{1}{m} S_{m,F}^2(x) + \frac{1}{n} S_{n,G}^2(x).$$

TEST STATISTICS: $Z_{m,n}(x) = \{C_{m,n}(x) + C(x)\} / D_{m,n}(x)$,

Reject H_0 , iff $\inf_{x>0} Z_{m,n}(x) > Z_\alpha$,

where Z_α is the *upper* α th quantile of $N(0, 1)$ distribution.

PROPOSITION 2. (KPRS, 1994)

Let $D^2(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{Var}[U_1(x)] + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \text{Var}[V_1(x)]$, $m/(m+n) \rightarrow \lambda$

as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, then

(i) $\limsup_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\inf_x Z_{m,n}(x) > Z_\alpha\} \leq \alpha$;

(ii) if $\int_0^{x_0} \{F(u) - G(u)\} du = 0$ and $\int_0^x \{F(u) - G(u)\} du > 0$, all $x \neq x_0$,

then $\lim P\{\inf_x Z_{m,n}(x) > Z_\alpha\} = \alpha$,

(iii) for $(F, G) \in H_1$, $P\{\inf_x Z_{m,n}(x) > Z_\alpha\} \rightarrow 1$.

THE PROPOSED TEST

$$H_0 : \int_0^x F(u)du \geq \int_0^x G(u)du, \text{ all } x \geq 0,$$

$$H_1 : \int_0^x F(u)du < \int_0^x G(u)du, \text{ some } x > 0.$$

Under H_1 , $C(x_0) = \inf_x C(x) = \inf_x \int_0^x (F - G)$ exists.

Let $\hat{C}(x) = \int_0^x (F_m - G_n)du = C_{m,n}(x) + C(x) \simeq \int_0^x (F - G) = C(x)$, as m, n large,

since $\|C_{m,n}(x)\|_\infty = O((\log \log m)/m)^{1/2}$ w.p. 1.

PROPOSITION 3. $\sqrt{m+n} C_{m,n}(x) \xrightarrow{d} W(x)$, $x > 0$,

$$W(x) = \int_0^x \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} B_1(F(u)) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\lambda}} B_2(G(u)) \right\} du,$$

where B_1, B_2 are independent Brownian Bridge Processes, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[W(x)] = & \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^x \int_0^x [F(u) \wedge F(v) - F(u)F(v)] dudv \\ & + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^x \int_0^x [G(u) \wedge G(v) - G(u)G(v)] dudv. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(m+n) D_{m,n}^2(x) = \text{Var}[C_{m,n}(x)] \rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \text{Var}[U_1(x)] + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \text{Var}[V_1(x)] \equiv D^2(x).$$

Let $\hat{C}(x_0) = \inf_x \hat{C}(x) \simeq C(x_0)$.

Then, $\frac{\hat{C}(x_0)}{D_{m,n}(x_0)} = \frac{C_{m,n}(x_0)}{D_{m,n}(x_0)} + \frac{C(x_0)}{D_{m,n}(x_0)} \stackrel{d}{\simeq} N(0,1) + \frac{\sqrt{m+n}C(x_0)}{D(x_0)}$

THEOREM 1. (*Ho and Cheng, 1998*) Under H_0 , $F = G$, $C(x_0) = 0$,
the approximation in distribution $\frac{\hat{C}(x_0)}{D_{m,n}(x_0)} \stackrel{d}{\simeq} N(0,1)$,
holds such that $P\left(\frac{\hat{C}(x_0)}{D_{m,n}(x_0)} > Z_\alpha\right) \rightarrow \alpha$, equivalently,

$$P\left\{\left\|\frac{\hat{C}(x_0)}{D_{m,n}(x_0)}\right\| \left(\stackrel{d}{\simeq} |N(0,1)|\right) > Z_{\alpha/2}\right\} \rightarrow \alpha, \text{ as } m, n \text{ large, where}$$

Z_α is the upper α th quantile of the standard normal distribution.

Under H_1 , $C(x_0) = \inf_x C(x) < 0$, $\sqrt{m+n} (C(x_0)/D(x_0)) \rightarrow -\infty$,

so that $P\left\{\frac{\hat{C}(x_0)}{D_{m,n}(x_0)} \left(\stackrel{d}{\simeq} N(0,1)\right) + \frac{\sqrt{m+n}C(x_0)}{D(x_0)} < Z_\alpha\right\} \rightarrow 1$.

RATIONALE AND FUTURE STUDY

PROPOSITION 4. *Fellman (1976, Econometrica)*

If $Y = g(X)$, $g \nearrow$, $g(x)/x \nearrow$ or $\searrow$;

equivalently, $G^{-1}(t)/F^{-1}(t) \nearrow$ or $\searrow$;

then, $F \leq_L G$ or $F \geq_L G$.

PROPOSITION 5. *Lambert (1989, Chapter 3)*

If GL_F crosses GL_G a finite number of times, the first from above, then

- (i) F crosses G , first from below;*
- (ii) if $m_F < m_G$, GL_F crosses GL_G an odd number of times;*
- (iii) if $m_F > m_G$, GL_F crosses GL_G an even number of times;*
- (iv) if $m_F = m_G$, GL_F crosses GL_G an even/odd number of times, iff F crosses G an odd/even number of times.*

REMARK. It is understood that general crossing conditions of the generalized Lorenz curves are complicated to be tested in theory. It is also not an easy task to derive an effective test for Lorenz dominance in computing the asymptotic p – values, even if it can be achieved theoretically as an extension of Theorem 1.

*This study is based on the thesis of Mr. Li-De Ho, as a partial fulfilment for the degree of Master of Science, Department of Applied Mathematics, National Donghwa University, Hualien, Taiwan, June 1998.

REFERENCES

- Lorenz, M.O.** (1905). Methods of measuring the concentration of wealth. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **9**, 209-219.
- Gini, C.** (1914). Sulla misura della concentrazione e della variabilit  dei caratteri. *Atti del R. Istituto Veneto di Scienze Lettere ed Arti*. In ref. **21**, 411-419; *Translations of the Real Instituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti*, **53**, 1203-1212.
- Dalton, H.** (1920). The measurement of the inequality of incomes. *Econ. J.*, **30**, 348-361. (A seminal paper on the properties of IIMs.)
- Hadar, J. and Russell, W. R.** (1969). Rules for ordering uncertain prospects. *Amer. Econ. Rev.*, **59**, 25-34.
- Hanoch, G. and Levy, H.** (1969). The efficiency analyses of choices in volving risk. *Review of Economic Studies*, **38**, 335-346.
- Atkinson, A. B.** (1970). On the measurement of inequality. *J. Economic Theory*, **2**, 244-263.
- Gastwirth** (1971). A general definition of the Lorenz Curves. *Econometrica*, **39**, 1037-1039.
- Rothschild, M. and Stiglitz, J. E.** (1973). Some further results on the measurement of inequality. *J. Economic Theory*, **6**, 188-204.
- Fellman, J.** (1976). The effect of transformation on Lorenz curves. *Econometrica*, **44**, 823-824.
- Shorrocks, A. F.** (1983). Ranking income distribution. *Econometrica*, **50**, 3-17. **Lambert, P. J.** (1989). *The Distribution and Redistribution of Income: A Mathematical Analysis*. Blackwell, Oxford.
- McFadden, D.** (1989). Testing for Stochastic Dominance. In T. Fomby and T.I. Seo (eds.), *Studies in Economics of Uncertainty*. Springer, New York.
- Kaur, A., Prakasa Rao, B.L.S., and Singh, H.** (1994). Testing for second-order stochastic dominance of two distributions. *Economics Theory*, **10**, 854-866.
- Ho, Li-De** (1998). *Testing Second Order Stochastic Dominance*. Master Thesis, Department of Applied Mathematics, National Donghwa University, Hualien, Taiwan.